



Unmixing before Fusion: A Generalized Paradigm for Multi-Source-based Hyperspectral Image Synthesis

Yang Yu*, Erting Pan*, Xinya Wang, Yuheng Wu, Xiaoguang Mei⁺, Jiayi Ma

Electronic Information School, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China

1. Introduction



Challenge in hyperspectral image (HSI): Data Scarcity V.S. AI-based application





Impede large-scale AI-based applications



Possible solution

HSI Synthesis

1. Introduction





1. Introduction





2. Motivation



Why unmixing ?

- Similar scenes share common low-rank features
- Bridging the dimensional gap between RGB images and HSIs in the same low-dimensional abundance space

Why fusion ?

- Data from other source (e.g., RGB) are more easily accessible
- Fusing unpaired multi-source data enables to learn various and realistic spatial distributions



$$Y_{\text{HSI}} = \widehat{E}_{\text{HSI}} \cdot \widehat{A}_{\text{HSI}} + \epsilon$$
$$Y_{\text{RGB}} = \widehat{E}_{\text{RGB}} \cdot \widehat{A}_{\text{RGB}} + \epsilon$$

If endmember sharing, their abundance maps are in the same low-dimensional space.



Unmixing across multi-source data

• Training the unmixing net $\mathcal{U}(\cdot)$ to acquire the endmembers \hat{E}_{HSI} and abundance maps \hat{A}_{HSI} :

 $\hat{E}_{\text{HSI}}, \hat{A}_{\text{HSI}} = \mathcal{U}(\Psi(Y_{HSI}))$

• Inferring the abundance maps \hat{A}_{RGB} from RGB datasets:

 $\hat{A}_{\mathrm{R}GB} = \mathcal{U}(Y_{\mathrm{R}GB}; \hat{E}_{\mathrm{H}SI})$



3. Method: Unmixing before Fusion



Unmixing across multi-source data

• Training the unmixing net $\mathcal{U}(\cdot)$ to acquire the endmembers \hat{E}_{HSI} and abundance maps \hat{A}_{HSI} :

 $\hat{E}_{\text{HSI}}, \hat{A}_{\text{HSI}} = \mathcal{U}(\Psi(Y_{HSI}))$

• Inferring the abundance maps \hat{A}_{RGB} from RGB datasets:

 $\hat{A}_{\mathrm{R}GB} = \mathcal{U}(Y_{\mathrm{R}GB}; \hat{E}_{\mathrm{H}SI})$

Fusion-based synthesis

• Synthesize abundance utilizing a generative model $G(\cdot)$:

 $\hat{A}_{\text{Fusion}} = \mathbf{G}(\hat{A}_{\text{RGB}}, \hat{A}_{\text{HSI}})$

• Combining synthetic abundance maps \hat{A}_{Fusion} and the estimated endmembers \hat{E}_{HSI} :

$$\hat{Y}_{\text{Fusion}} = \hat{E}_{\text{HSI}} \cdot \hat{A}_{\text{Fusion}} + \epsilon$$





Generalized with various generative AI models

- The UBF paradigm transform high-dimensional data synthesis into low-dimensional abundance synthesis
- The incorporated multi-source data in this paradigm can be unpaired
- Can be generalized with alternative generative models





Compare with different methods



- The GMM does not mimic the actual distribution of objects, and the MST++ do not generate new data.
- The UBF+VDVAE tends to produce results with blurry textures, low informational content, and poor quality. The UBF+StyleGAN3 and UBF+DDPM models can synthesize diverse HSIs with realistic spatial distribution.

Ablation in with/without unmixing

Original HSI

• Meaningless results

Without unmixing

- Unstable latent features
- Unreliable synthetic results with distortion

With unmixing

- clear physical meaning
- High quality synthetic results with reliable spatial-spectral features
- Setting 5 endmembers is most efficient.



(c) Abundance-based DDPM

| Generation space | Reconstruction quality | | | | Generation quality | | |
|------------------|------------------------|--------|--------|-----------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|
| (dimension) | RMSE↓ | PSNR ↑ | SSIM ↑ | $SAD\downarrow$ | $FID\downarrow$ | Recall ↑ | Precision ↑ |
| HSI Cube(128) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Abundance(15) | 0.022 | 36.48 | 0.944 | 4.56 | 49.15 | 0.11 | 0.08 |
| Abundance(8) | 0.027 | 35.89 | 0.938 | 4.81 | 15.19 | 0.36 | 0.31 |
| Abundance(5) | 0.034 | 34.26 | 0.931 | 5.47 | 8.23 | 0.50 | 0.48 |
| Abundance(3) | 0.041 | 30.95 | 0.927 | 9.04 | 8.77 | 0.50 | 0.41 |



Ablation in without/with fusion

Without fusion

• Monotonous synthetic results.



(a) Synthetic HSI samples with the Chikusei dataset (HSI) alone.



(b) Synthetic HSI samples with the Chikusei dataset (HSI) and AID dataset(RGB).

With fusion

- More robust and accurate feature representation.
- Diverse, rich, and reasonable spatial distribution in synthetic HSIs.



Extension in natural scenario

Synthetic abundance maps:

• Accurate spatial distributions

Synthetic spectral profile:

• Distinct spectral features

Synthetic HSIs:

- Convincing visual effects
- Portraying a variety with remarkable fidelity





Extension in natural scenario

Synthetic abundance maps:

• Accurate spatial distributions

Synthetic spectral profile:

• Distinct spectral features

Synthetic HSIs:

- Convincing visual effects
- Portraying a variety with remarkable fidelity





Extension in natural scenario

Synthetic abundance maps:

• Accurate spatial distributions

Synthetic spectral profile:

• Distinct spectral features

Synthetic HSIs:

- Convincing visual effects
- Portraying a variety with remarkable fidelity





Extension on downstream tasks

Scene classification

- Mitigating issues like sample scarcity and class imbalance
- Enhancing the diversity and scale of existing limited datasets 20
- Achieving superior precision opposed to traditional affine transformation.
- Potentially benefit for other downstream tasks.

| Augmentation | Training set scale | AlexNet | VGG-16 | ResNet-18 |
|---------------|--------------------|---------|--------|-----------|
| × | 761 | 89.51% | 87.30% | 37.14% |
| Affine Trans. | 4k | 91.11% | 88.84% | 41.75% |
| Our UBF | 4k | 92.70% | 93.97% | 44.33% |
| Our UBF | 8k | 94.29% | 94.60% | 45.76% |







Thank you for listening.

https://hsi-synthesis.github.io/

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by NSFC (U23B200344) and NSFC of Guangdong Province (2023A1515012834).

